STATE OF WASHINGTON 3/22/2018 8:00 AM BÝ SUSAN L. CARLSON CLERK 5 WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT 6 JOHN WORTHINGTON, No. 953309 7 Plaintiff. PETITIONER'S REPLY TO WESTNET MOTION TO STRIKE 8 VS. 9 WESTNET, 10 Defendants, 11 1. Identify of Moving Party 12 John Worthington respectfully replies to the WestNET motion to strike 13 14 Petitioner's letter of protest. 15 2. Statement of Relief Sought 16 Worthington respectfully requests the Supreme Court to deny the Motion to 17 Strike the petitioner's letter of protest. 18 19 3. Facts Relevant to Motion 20 Worthington filed a letter of protest because the Counsel for WestNET 21 made misrepresentations to the Washington State Supreme Court. Counsel for 22 WestNET wrote a declaration admitting to misleading the Washington State 23 24 Supreme Court Justices with the trial court. The trial court was not the court which

was misled, only the appellate courts were misled.

25

26

The Kitsap County Prosecutor representing WestNET informed the Supreme Court WestNET did not conduct seizure forfeitures and also stated under oath the Kitsap County Prosecutor could not represent WestNET.

4. Grounds for Relief and Argument

RPC 3.3 (c) reads in relevant part:

(c) If the lawyer has offered material evidence and comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall promptly disclose this fact to the tribunal unless such disclosure is prohibited by Rule 1.6.

On October 27, 2015, Ione George wrote a declaration to the Kitsap County Superior Court. In that declaration, George admitted to making false statements during oral argument and in briefing to the Washington State Supreme Court.

Dec. of Worthington Exhibit 1

Since this is the first appearance in front of the Washington State Supreme

Court since the false statements were admitted to have been made to them,

WestNET counsel has the responsibility under RPC 3.3 (c) to inform the

Supreme Court of the factual misrepresentations. Some of those misrepresentations

may have affected the outcome of the previous hearings and opinions by the

Supreme Court.

Furthermore, RCW 36.27.040 reads in relevant part:

The prosecuting attorney shall be responsible for the acts of his or her deputies and may revoke appointments at will.

Thus the elected prosecutor also has an obligation to admit the facts were misrepresented to the Supreme Court under the statute and pursuant to RPC 5.1.

The statute is quite clear that the deputy prosecutors are only extensions of the elected prosecutor and that the Prosecutor takes responsibility for the actions of the Deputy prosecutors.. ¹ Although George tries to take responsibility for the false statements, her position as Deputy Prosecutor offers no authority for her to take "corrective measures." There is simply no statutory ability for deputy Prosecutor's to function independently and with authority over other Deputy Prosecutors.

Therefore, pursuant to the statute, Russell Hauge and Tina Robinson are the true responsible parties for the blatant misrepresentations to the Washington State Supreme Court. The facts are, the elected prosecutors are responsible for telling

¹RPC 5.1 [1] [Washington revision] Paragraph (a) applies to lawyers who have managerial authority over the professional work of a firm. See Rule 1.0A (c). This includes members of a partnership, the shareholders in a law firm organized as a professional corporation, and members of other associations authorized to practice law; lawyers having comparable managerial authority in a legal services organization or a law department of an enterprise or government agency; and lawyers who have intermediate managerial responsibilities in a firm. Paragraph (b) applies to lawyers who have supervisory authority over the work of other lawyers in a firm.

as WestNET, requesting funds in the name of WestNET and then cashing those funds once they were collected.

WestNET counsel has seemed to forget her previous appearance before the Supreme Court and has also forgot the obligation to inform the tribunal she has misled. The elected Prosecutor has seemed to forget the language of the statute RCW 36.27.40

Worthington was within his right to protest the failure of the Deputy

Prosecutor and the elected prosecutor to take responsibility for the past
misrepresentations to this court.

The facts are, the responsibilities under RPC 3.3 c, and d have not been fulfilled. The tribunal which was misled has not been officially notified of the false statements Ione George has already declared to have been made in oral testimony and the briefing.

5. Conclusion

Based on the aforementioned arguments WestNET's motion to strike the petitioner's letter of protest should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 2st day of March 2018.

BY :/ JOHN WORTHINGTON

John Worthington 4500 SE 2ND PL. Renton WA.98059

1	
2	Certificate of Service
3	via email, a copy of the documents and pleadings listed below upon the attorn of record for the defendants herein listed and indicated below.
4	
5	
6 7	REPLY TO MOTION TO STRIKE, DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF AND EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO
8	IONE GEORGE WESTNET 614 Division Street MS-3SA Port Orchard, W A 98366 PAM LOGINSKY WAPA 206 10th Ave. SE Olympia, WA 98501
9	
10	PETER B. GONICK WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT Deputy Solicitor General 415 12th Avenue SW, 1125 Washington Street SE Olympia, WA 98504-0929
11	
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
13	
14	Executed on this 21st day of March, 2018
15	
16	BY :/ JOHN WORTHINGTON John Worthington
17	John Worthington 4500 SE 2 ND PL. Renton WA.98059
18	Remon WA. 76057
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	
2	
3	WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT
4	JOHN WORTHINGTON, No. 953309
5	Plaintiff, DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
6	OF PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
7 WESTNET, WESTNET MO	WESTNET MOTION TO STRIKE
8	Defendants,
9	
10	
11	
12	DECLARATION OF JOHN WORTHINGTON
13	John Worthington hereby declares as follows:
14	1. I am the plaintiff in this action. I am over the age of 18 years, competent to
15	testify, and I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein. 2. On October 27, 2015, I was sent a declaration by Ione George. (Exhibit 1)
16	3. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the
17	foregoing is True and correct.
18	OV.T
19	Respectfully Executed this <u>2151</u> day of March, 2018.
20	By Mah North An
21	John Worthington
22	4500 SE 2 ND PL Renton WA.98059
23	
24	
25	
26	

EXHIBIT 1

Worthington v. WestNet, Cause No. 11-2-02698-3

Batrice Fredsti

Tue 10/27/2015 3:35 PM

To:worthingtonjw2u@hotmail.com <worthingtonjw2u@hotmail.com>;

Colone S. George < IGeorge@co.kitsap.wa.us>; Carrie A. Bruce < CBruce@co.kitsap.wa.us>;

1 attachments (136 KB)

Declaration of Ione S. George Pursuant to RPC 3.3(d).pdf;

Dear Mr. Worthington,

Attached please find a Declaration of Ione S. George Pursuant to RPC 3.3(d), which will be filed with the court this afternoon. Per our email service agreement, a copy will not follow in the mail.

Sincerely,

Batrice Fredsti
Legal Assistant to Ione George
and Christy Palmer
Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office
614 Division Street, MS-35A
Port Orchard, WA 98366
Phone: (360) 337-7032

Fax: (360) 337-7083 bfredsti@co.kitsap.wa.us v.

17 18

19

2021

2223

2425

2627

28

DECLARATION OF IONE S. GEORGE PURSUANT TO RPC 3.3 -- 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KITSAP

JOHN WORTHINGTON,

Plaintiffs,

NO. 11-2-02698-3

3.3 (d)

DECLARATION OF IONE S.

GEORGE PURSUANT TO RPC

WestNET

Defendants.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the following is true and correct:

I am a Chief Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for the Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, and am counsel for defendant WestNET.

As of today's date I am aware that a statement I made in response to a question during oral argument before the Supreme Court in this case on Thursday, October 23, 2014, was inaccurate. The following declaration is submitted, in accordance with RPC 3.3(d), to identify and correct that response.

In its initial motion for dismissal, pursuant to CR 12(b)(6), WestNET brought to the

TINA R. ROBINSON
Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney
614 Division Street, MS-35A
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676
(360) 337-4992 Fax (360) 337-7083
www.kitsapgov.com/pros

Court's attention several pertinent portions of the Interlocal Drug Task Force Agreement which, it asserted, supported the premise that WestNET was not an entity subject to suit.

Among those provisions, referred to above, WestNET referred to the following Interlocal provision:

The Office of the Kitsap County Prosecutor shall, in addition to its normal duties in the prosecution of Kitsap County felony drug violations, represent the Cities, Kitsap County, and the State in real and personal property forfeitures and drug nuisance abatement proceedings initiated by the Task Force assigned personnel.

The following comment was added in argument of this provision in the Supreme Court briefing: "Of note, the agreement does not provide for the Task Force (e.g. WestNET) to initiate forfeitures or abatements; nor does it reflect that the Prosecutor's Office would represent the Task Force."

At oral argument before the Supreme Court, I was asked if WestNET had ever appeared voluntarily as a plaintiff or a petitioner in any action. Specifically the court asked if WestNET had filed any forfeiture actions. Based upon the language of the Interlocal agreement, my independent investigation and my knowledge of the facts at that time, I represented to the court that WestNET had not ever affirmatively initiated any action; that when forfeiture actions were filed, related to WestNET drug task force investigations, they were filed on behalf of the underlying agency who seized the evidence.

My representation to the Court in this, and in all regards, was based on my absolute belief in the truth of my statements.

Yesterday, October 26, 2015, I discovered that Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys who were involved in drug forfeiture proceedings related to WestNET drug task force operations had in the

DECLARATION OF IONE S. GEORGE PURSUANT TO RPC 3.3 -- 2

TINA R. ROBINSON
Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney
614 Division Street, MS-35A
Pon Orchard, WA 98366-4676
(360) 337-4992 Fax (360) 337-7083
www.kitsapgov.com/pros

past filed pleadings in those actions which indicated that they (the Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys) were representing WestNET, as opposed to the underlying WestNET member agency or employee, and that the forfeiture proceeding was brought by WestNET, rather than, again, the underlying WestNET member agency.

As of today's date, I have made corrective measures within my office and have every reason to believe any future appearance made by my office on such cases will correctly reflect that the forfeiture action is sought by the seizing agency and that legal representation is on behalf of that person or agency, not WestNET; in compliance with the language and intent of the Interlocal Agreement.

DATED October 27, 2015 at Port Orchard, Washington.

IONE S. GEORGE

DECLARATION OF IONE S. GEORGE PURSUANT TO RPC 3.3 -- 3

TINA R. ROBINSON
Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney
614 Division Street, MS-35A
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676
(360) 337-4992 Fax (360) 337-7083
www.kitsapgov.com/pros

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Batrice Fredsti, declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that I am now and at all times herein mentioned, a resident of the state of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to or interested in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein.

On the date given below I caused to be served the above document in the manner noted upon the following:

John Worthington 4500 SE 2nd Place Renton, WA 98059

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

[] Via U.S. Mail [] Via Fax: [X] Via Email: [] Via Hand Delivery

SIGNED in Port Orchard, Washington this 27th day of October, 2015.

Batrice Fredsti, Legal Assistant Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office 614 Division Street, MS-35A Port Orchard WA 98366

Phone: 360-337-4992

DECLARATION OF IONE S. GEORGE PURSUANT TO RPC 3.3 -- 4

TINA R. ROBINSON
Kitsap County Prosecuting Antorney
614 Division Street, MS-35A
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4676
(360) 337-4992 Fax (360) 337-7083
www.kitsapgov.com/pros

JOHN WORTHINGTON - FILING PRO SE

March 21, 2018 - 6:22 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 95330-9

Appellate Court Case Title: John Worthington v. WestNet

Superior Court Case Number: 11-2-02698-3

The following documents have been uploaded:

953309_Answer_Reply_20180321182133SC148586_1377.pdf

This File Contains:

Answer/Reply - Answer to Motion

The Original File Name was PETITIONER'S REPLY TO WESTNET MOTION TO STRIKE.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

• igeorge@co.kitsap.wa.us

• joe@joethomas.org

• thelittlewho@hotmail.com

Comments:

Sender Name: John Worthington - Email: Whodalooboboo@gmail.com

Address:

4500 se 2nd pl Renton, WA, 98059 Phone: (425) 917-2235

Note: The Filing Id is 20180321182133SC148586